

## Brigham Young University Law School BYU Law Digital Commons

---

### Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –)

---

1983

# Lloydona Peters Enterprises, Inc. v. Dale M. Dorius and Deloris P. Dorius : Appellant's Petition for Rehearing

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\\_sc2](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2)

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; funding for digitization provided by the Institute of Museum and Library Services through the Library Services and Technology Act, administered by the Utah State Library, and sponsored by the S.J. Quinney Law Library; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Donn E. Cassity; Doris M. Harker; Daniel A. Barker; Attorneys for Plaintiff and Appellant;  
Walter G. Mann; Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents;

---

### Recommended Citation

Petition for Rehearing, *Lloydona Peters Enterprises v. Dorius*, No. 18059 (Utah Supreme Court, 1983).  
[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu\\_sc2/2666](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/uofu_sc2/2666)

This Petition for Rehearing is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs (1965 –) by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu).

DONN E. CASSITY  
MICHAEL R. MUELLER  
ROMNEY, NELSON & CASSITY  
Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant  
136 East South Temple  
Suite 900, University Club Bldg.  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Telephone: (801) 328-3261

FILED

MAR 16 1983

Clerk, Supreme Court, Utah

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

1		
2	LLOYDONNA PETERS ENTERPRISES, INC., )	
3	Plaintiff-Appellant, )	APPELLANTS PETITION FOR
4	vs. )	REHEARING
5	DALE M. DORIUS and DELORIS P. DORIUS, )	Civil No. 18059
6	Defendants-Respondants. )	

COMES NOW the Plaintiff-Appellant, by and through its attorneys of record, and petitions this Court for a rehearing of its Appeal, which Appeal was denied in a decision by this Court filed February 10, 1983. Plaintiff-Appellant bases its petition for rehearing upon the following points wherein it is alleged the Supreme Court erred:

1. The majority holding of the Court's opinion failed to consider the deadlock which existed and continues to exist between the two sets of sisters, which deadlock has effectively prevented the Board of Directors of the Appellant Corporation from making a resolution authorizing the president to protect significant assets of the corporation.

2. The majority decision of the Court failed to consider the matter in which the "sale" of the real property in question was accepted by the Board of Directors, and failed to consider the lack of an affirmative approval of the sale by the Board of Directors.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW  
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84101

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that I mailed two copies of the foregoing Appellants  
Petition for Rehearing to Defendant, by mailing a copy thereof to their  
attorney, Walter G. Mann, 35 First Security Bank Building, P.O. Box "F",  
Brigham City, Utah 84302, by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 16th day of  
March, 1983.

---